

GIAMPO

# Grand Island Transit Feasibility Study Technical Memo #2

Grand Island, Nebraska  
City of Grand Island Project No. 017-0611

## TRANSIT ALTERNATIVES

Prepared by Olsson Associates  
201 E 2nd Street,  
Grand Island, Nebraska 68801

December 12, 2017  
Olsson Project No. 017-0611

-----This Page was Intentionally Left Blank -----

# REGIONAL TRANSIT NEEDS ASSESSMENT & FEASIBILITY STUDY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 2: TRANSIT ALTERNATIVES

Submitted to:

**City of Grand Island and**

**Grand Island Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (GIAMPO)**

100 East First Street, Box 1968

Grand Island, NE 68802

308.389.0273

Submitted by:

Olsson Associates

2111 S. 67th Street, Suite 200

Omaha, NE 68106

[www.OlssonAssociates.com](http://www.OlssonAssociates.com)

402.341.1116

*Olsson Report No. 017-0611*

DECEMBER 12, 2017

-----This Page was Intentionally Left Blank -----

# CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER 1   INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
<b>CHAPTER 2   COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 Online Community Survey	4
Socio-economic Characteristics	6
Bicycle/Pedestrian Elements	7
Transit Service Perceptions	10
2.3 Transit Rider Survey	12
Socio-economic Characteristics	14
Bicycle/Pedestrian Connections	16
Transit Service Perceptions	16
<b>CHAPTER 3   PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT - ROUND TWO</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Focus Group Meetings	19
3.3 Summary of Focus Group Meetings	23
3.4 Additional Alternatives Comment Form Summary	32
3.5 Transit Bus Rider Survey - Future Alternatives	34
<b>CHAPTER 4   TRANSIT ALTERNATIVES</b>	<b>37</b>
4.1 Introduction	37
4.2 Alternatives	37
Status Quo	38
Same Day Demand Response	39
Flexible Route Service	40
Fixed Route Service	42
Additional Services	45
4.3 Service to Different Market Segments	51
4.4 Transit Assumptions	51
4.5 Transit Alternatives Summary	53
<b>CHAPTER 5   OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1 Introduction	55
5.2 Background	55
5.3 Hire Employees vs. Contract Out	56

Research Documents . . . . . 56

Common Factors For Choosing Service Contracts vs. In-House Operations . . . . . 59

**5.4 Contracting Models . . . . . 63**

Local Contracting Model Estimates . . . . . 66

Contracting Model Summary . . . . . 69

**5.5 Governance Structure . . . . . 70**

Governance Today . . . . . 70

Governance in the Future . . . . . 70

Governance Summary . . . . . 72

**CHAPTER 6 | NEXT STEPS 73**

6.1 Next Steps . . . . . 73

**APPENDIX A | TRANSIT RIDER SURVEY INSTRUMENT 75**

**APPENDIX B | ONLINE SURVEY SURVEY INSTRUMENT 78**

**APPENDIX C | TRANSIT RIDER SURVEY - FUTURE TRANSIT ALTERNATIVES 85**

**TABLES |**

Table 3.1: Focus Group Meeting Schedule . . . . . 20

Table 4.1: Same Day Demand Response Operating Information . . . . . 39

Table 4.2: Flexible Route Service Operating Information . . . . . 40

Table 4.3: Fixed Route Service Operations Information . . . . . 44

Table 4.4: Regional Airport Service Operations Information . . . . . 46

Table 4.5: Commuter Express Service Operations Information . . . . . 47

Table 4.6: Vanpool Service Operations Information . . . . . 48

Table 4.7: Rideshare Service Operations Information . . . . . 49

Table 4.8: Market Segment Focus . . . . . 51

Table 4.9: Transit Cost Assumptions for Service Alternatives . . . . . 52

Table 4.10: Ridership Projection Assumptions . . . . . 53

Table 4.11: Transit Alternatives Summary . . . . . 54

Table 5.1: In-House Operations . . . . . 60

Table 5.2: Contract Services . . . . . 61

**TABLES |**

Table 5.3: Contract Variations in Job Functions ..... 65

Table 5.4: Traditional and Operating Service Cost Comparison..... 66

Table 5.5: Turn-key and Purchase of Service Cost Comparison ..... 67

Table 5.6: In-House Service Part-time and Full-Time Cost Comparison ..... 68

**FIGURES |**

Figure 2.1: How often do you ride public transit? ..... 4

Figure 2.2: If you use public transportation, what is your primary purpose?..... 4

Figure 2.3: Reasons for not using Hall County Public Transportation ..... 5

Figure 2.4: Vehicle availability ..... 5

Figure 2.5: Drivers License ..... 5

Figure 2.6: Socio-Economic - Age ..... 6

Figure 2.7: Socio-Economic - Employment ..... 6

Figure 2.8: Socio-Economic - Household Income ..... 6

Figure 2.9: Comfortable Walking Distance ..... 7

Figure 2.10: Barriers to Walking ..... 7

Figure 2.11: Considerations on Housing Locations..... 8

Figure 2.12: Bike Racks ..... 8

Figure 2.13: Survey Respondent Recommended Transit Service and Bike/Ped Connections ..... 9

Figure 2.14: Value of Hall County Public Transportation..... 10

Figure 2.15: Priority of Hall County Public Transportation Improvements ..... 10

Figure 2.16: Perception of Hall County Public Transportation .....11

Figure 2.17: Other Transportation Options..... 12

Figure 2.18: Other Transportation Options..... 12

Figure 2.19: Value of Hall County Public Transportation Today ..... 12

Figure 2.20: Origin of Trip..... 13

Figure 2.21: Destination of Trip ..... 13

Figure 2.22: Availability of Vehicle..... 13

Figure 2.23: Possession pf Driver's License ..... 13

Figure 2.24: Characteristics - Gender ..... 14

Figure 2.25: Characteristics - Age ..... 14

Figure 2.26: Characteristics - Employment..... 14

Figure 2.27: Characteristics - Household Income ..... 15

Figure 2.28: Characteristics - Ethnicity..... 15

Figure 2.29: Disability and Special Assistance ..... 15

**FIGURES |**

Figure 2.30: Choosing Where to Live or Work . . . . . 16

Figure 2.31: Perception of Hall County Public Transportation . . . . . 16

Figure 2.32: Aspects of Hall County Public Transportation . . . . . 17

Figure 2.33: Priority Improvements . . . . . 18

Figure 3.1: Question 1, Goal 1 Results . . . . . 23

Figure 3.2: Question 1, Goal 2 Results . . . . . 24

Figure 3.3: Question 1, Goal 3 Results . . . . . 25

Figure 3.4: Question 2 Results. . . . . 26

Figure 3.5: Question 3 Results. . . . . 27

Figure 3.6: Question 4 Results. . . . . 28

Figure 3.7: Question 5 Results. . . . . 29

Figure 3.8: Question 6 Results. . . . . 30

Figure 3.9: Question 7 Results. . . . . 31

Figure 3.10: Top Service Priority . . . . . 32

Figure 3.11: Autonomous Vehicle Technology . . . . . 32

Figure 3.12: Additional Transit Services Meet the Goals/Objectives? . . . . . 33

Figure 3.12: Additional Transit Services . . . . . 34

Figure 3.13: Question No. 1 . . . . . 34

Figure 3.14: Question No. 2 . . . . . 34

Figure 3.15: Question No. 3 . . . . . 35

Figure 3.16: Question No. 4 . . . . . 35

Figure 3.17: Question No. 5 . . . . . 35

Figure 3.18: Question No. 6 . . . . . 35

Figure 4.1: Flex Route Alternative . . . . . 41

Figure 4.2: Fixed Route Alternative . . . . . 43

Figure 4.3: Regional Airport Service . . . . . 45

Figure 4.4: Commuter Express Service. . . . . 47